Geochemistry of Cu, Zn and Fe in the Tambo River, Australia I. Oxidation of Fe(II)-rich water entering the river
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Abstract. The behaviour of Fe, Cu and Zn was studied during the laboratory oxidation of an acidic (pH 5.8) sample of naturally contaminated water from the Tambo River (Victoria, Australia). Changes in the concentrations of the dissolved, colloidal and particulate fractions of these metals were followed over 288 h. The initial concentrations of dissolved Cu (18.5 mg L\(^{-1}\)) and Zn (22.6 mg L\(^{-1}\)) were ~15-fold greater than that of the dissolved Fe (1.13 mg L\(^{-1}\)). Dissolved Fe was completely removed from solution in 60 h, with removal following the well established first order oxidation kinetics for Fe(II). A relatively small amount of the dissolved Cu (18%) and dissolved Zn (14%) was removed from the solution, although the removal mechanisms appear to be different. A ‘co-precipitation-surface precipitation’ mechanism is proposed to explain the behaviour of the Cu and Zn, with Cu(II), Zn(II) and Fe(III) co-precipitating to form colloidal Fe(OH)\(_3\)-Cu(OH)\(_2\)-Zn(OH)\(_2\) particles following the initial oxidation of Fe(II) to Fe(III).
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Introduction

The geochemistry of heavy metals in aquatic systems is complicated and difficult to predict. This is particularly so for rivers, where physical (hydrological), chemical and biological interactions must be coupled if the behaviour of the metals is to be understood and eventually predicted (Hart and Hines 1995). Despite the obvious variability and complexity of river systems, there exists a quite detailed understanding of many of the processes controlling heavy metal geochemistry, with much of this understanding coming from laboratory-based studies of trace metal speciation and the interactions of elements with a variety of substrates (e.g. natural organic matter, colloids, suspended particulate matter) (Hart and Hines 1995; Stumm and Morgan 1996; Hart et al. 1997; Harris 2001).

There have been relatively few field studies that have focused specifically on the changes in the (bio)geochemistry of reactive trace metals that can occur as a result of the wide range of natural variations characteristic of stream ecosystems, and those that have been undertaken have been dominated by investigations of the very obvious changes occurring when acid mine drainage (i.e. acidic, metal-enriched water) enters a river (Johnson 1986; Filipek et al. 1987; Hart et al. 1992; Kimball et al. 1994; Balistrieri et al. 1998; Webster et al. 1998; Lee et al. 2002; Younger et al. 2002). In these cases, the effects of metal precipitation, adsorption onto newly formed hydrous metal oxides (HIO) and biological uptake dominate. Of these processes, the role of HIO in controlling the behaviour of heavy metals has been most studied (Dzombak and Morel 1990; Grossl et al. 1994; Karthikeyan et al. 1997, 1999; Swedlund and Webster 2001; Tonkin et al. 2002; Waychunas et al. 2002).

A unique opportunity to study the in situ behaviour of elevated heavy metal concentrations in a river system close to neutral pH, was provided by the upper Tambo River, situated in the Australian highlands some 270 km north-east of Melbourne. A section of this river receives input from a naturally metal-rich spring (Wilga Spring), the source of which is a Cu/Zn ore body located adjacent to the river (Hart et al. 1992). The downstream effects of the spring are obvious in that the rocks and sediments are stained red with iron oxides (a red-brown floc also builds up in sections of the river during low flows). Biological studies have shown the river is essentially devoid of animal life for a distance of at least 1 km downstream of the spring input (Macquarie Resources Ltd 1989).

Previous work (Hart et al. 1992) has shown that under most flow conditions, the spring input has little effect on the river pH, which remains close to neutral. However, the spring was found to cause an average sixfold increase in the Cu and Zn concentrations in the region close to the spring input. It was suggested that the acidic waters from the ore body oxidation zone are largely neutralised either before entering the river by carbonate-rich groundwater, or rapidly upon entering the river. Under most flow conditions, the concentrations of dissolved Cu and Zn in the ‘contaminated region’ of this river (region between spring and 1.3 km downstream) appear to be controlled largely by adsorption onto HIO surfaces formed in situ by the oxidation of Fe(II) present in the spring water. The subsequent behaviour of the Cu and Zn in this region of the river is then controlled by the transport and sedimentation of this metal-enriched colloidal and particulate material (Hart et al. 1992).
Under extremely low flows, when the spring input can make up a significant proportion of the river flow, some reduction in the river pH is observed. For example, in a study conducted when the river flow was $\sim 0.2\, \text{ML day}^{-1}$ (cf. long-term mean 22 ML day$^{-1}$), and the spring input made up $\sim 10\%$ of the flow, the river water pH was reduced from 7.2 to 5.8 at the start of the ‘input region’ (75 m downstream of Wilga Weir) and was further reduced to pH 5.1 at the second input point (160 m downstream of the weir) (Hart et al. 2008). However, despite these low pHs, it still appeared that the oxidation of Fe(II) played a significant role in controlling the behaviour of Cu and Zn within the ‘active region’.

We report a laboratory study designed to investigate the oxidation of Fe(II), and the associated loss of dissolved Cu and Zn, in these low pH waters. A Cu and Zn-enriched Tambo River water sample (pH 5.8) was oxidised in the laboratory under controlled conditions, and the changes in the dissolved ($<0.003\, \mu\text{m})$, colloidal ($>0.003$ to $<0.2\, \mu\text{m}$) and particulate ($>0.2\, \mu\text{m}$) concentrations of Fe, Cu and Zn were followed over 288 h. Despite the relatively low pH, all of the dissolved Fe (assumed to be Fe(II)) was removed from solution in around 60 h, and there was a considerable reduction in the dissolved Cu and Zn concentrations (14–18%, c. 3 mg L$^{-1}$). The mechanisms responsible for these changes are discussed. A companion paper (Hart et al. 2008) reports a field study of the geochemistry of Fe, Cu and Zn in the Tambo River at the time of this low flow.

**Materials and methods**

**System**

Hart et al. (1992) defined two regions of the Tambo River in the vicinity of Wilga ore body: a ‘contaminated region’ between Wilga Weir and Formfit Creek, a distance of $\sim 1.3$ km; and an ‘input region’ between $\sim 75$ m and 250 m downstream of Wilga Weir. There appears to be two main locations where Wilga spring water enters the river, the main input point being at 75 m and the other at 160 m (Hart et al. 1992, 2008). During the present study, the river flow was only $0.2\, \text{ML day}^{-1}$ with the main spring input making up an estimated 10% of this flow; the other input contributed $\sim 10\%$ of the main input. Full details on the system at the time of this low flow period are provided by Hart et al. (2008).

**Sampling**

A 20-L sample of river water was obtained from the ‘input region’, at a point about 150 m downstream of Wilga Weir, during the period of very low flow. The sample was pumped from the river into a 25-L acid-washed polyethylene container using a battery-operated peristaltic pump. The pH of this water was 5.8, the sulfate concentration was 320 mg L$^{-1}$ and the chloride concentration 17 mg L$^{-1}$.

**Oxidation experiment**

The sample contained in the polyethylene container was continuously aerated by bubbling air through the water column using a battery-operated air pump. One-litre samples were then taken from the container 10 min after the initial sample was collected from the river, and then at 12, 18, 36, 60, 96, 144 and 288 h. The container was shaken vigorously before each sub-sample was taken through a tap at the bottom into an acid-washed polyethylene bottle. Each sample was separated into three fractions using the tangential flow filtration (TFF) system as outlined below. The first sample ($t = 0$ h) was filtered on-site at the river.

The container was then taken back to the Macquarie Resources offices, $\sim 1$ h drive, where the second and third samples were processed. After this the container was transported back to the Water Studies Centre laboratory in Melbourne (7.5 h travel time) where the remainder of the experiment was carried out. The pH of the mixture, measured at the time each sub-sample was taken, remained constant over the course of the experiment (Hines 1995). The pH meter (Activon A211, Biolab, Clayton, Vic) was calibrated before each measurement using pH 7.0 and 4.0 standard buffer solutions. Temperature was maintained close to the original temperature ($15 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) throughout the experiment.

**Fractionation**

Two 100-mL duplicate samples were taken from each of the 1-L sub-samples, concentrated HNO$_3$ added (to pH 2) and the solutions analysed for total (T) Fe, Cu and Zn. The remainder of each sub-sample was then separated into three fractions using the Minitan TFF system described below and each fraction analysed for Fe, Cu and Zn. Manganese was also determined in all samples. However, the Mn concentration was always around two orders of magnitude lower than the corresponding Fe concentration (Hines 1995), and for this reason Mn is not considered further.

Filteration of water samples was carried out using TFF (Millipore Minitan System, Millipore Corp, Billerica, MA, USA). This allowed samples to be fractionated into three fractions: particulate (MeP: $>0.2\, \mu\text{m}$); colloidal (MeC: 0.2–0.003 $\mu\text{m}$); and dissolved (MeD: $<0.003\, \mu\text{m}$). This filtration unit used a set of horizontally stacked Minitan plates, with 60 cm$^2$ each of filter area, each separated by a silicone retentate separator. Once assembled, the Minitan plates and the retentate separators were sandwiched between two perspex plates. A stainless steel plate, four washers and nuts were placed on the top perspex plate and pressure was applied uniformly (torque wrench) to ensure adequate sealing. A pump head (Cole Palmer Model 7518, Cole Palmer, Vernon Hills, IL, USA) was fitted to the Minitan system using food grade silicone tubing. The pump head was attached to a masterflex L/S DC-powered pump drive, which required an external 12 V DC, 3 A power source. The power source for the operation of the peristaltic pump was a 12 V acid-lead battery.

Water was pumped into the Minitan holder at $\sim 1$ L min$^{-1}$ using the peristaltic pump. Two different filter sizes were used: 0.2 $\mu\text{m}$ and 0.003 $\mu\text{m}$ (10,000 Da). In the first stage of the fractionation procedure, the filtrate ($<0.2\, \mu\text{m}$) was collected in a 1-L acid-washed polyethylene bottle from which a sub-sample was taken and analysed for Cu, Zn and Fe. The system was washed after each filtration step with two aliquots of ultrapure (Milli-Q, Millipore Corp, Billerica, MA, USA) water, followed by one aliquot of 0.1 M nitric acid and then finally again with one aliquot of ultrapure water. A duplicate sample was then filtered through the 0.2-$\mu\text{m}$ filter plates.

After this, the 0.2-$\mu\text{m}$ filter plates were replaced with 0.003-$\mu\text{m}$ filter plates for the second sequential filtration step. Approximately 900 mL of the $<0.2\, \mu\text{m}$ filtrate was run through the TFF system until around 100 mL had passed through the $<0.003\, \mu\text{m}$ system until around 100 mL had passed through the $<0.003\, \mu\text{m}$...
filter, resulting in a concentration factor of around 9. Larsson et al. (2002) have shown that retention of colloidal particles in TFF systems is sensitive to the concentration factor, and using the results of these researchers, we estimate that the concentrations of the MeC fractions were probably within ±20% of the true concentration. A duplicate sample was also filtered through the 0.003-µm filter plates.

The final filtrates were analysed for Cu, Zn and Fe using the techniques outlined below. The metal concentrations in the duplicate samples were typically within 5–10% of each other, a not surprising result given the high metal concentrations in the present study.

After each filtration step, the filter plates and the retentate separator were further cleaned by washing them in an acid-washed plastic container filled with ultrapure water, then transferring them to a different container that was filled with 0.1 M nitric acid solution. The behaviour of the three forms of Fe with time is shown in Figs 1a and 2a. The initial FeT concentration was 2.21 mg L−1, with 51% in dissolved forms, 43% in particulate forms and only 6% in colloidal forms. The change in Fe speciation with time was quite clear. The FeD decreased to a negligible concentration after around 60 h, whereas the concentration of both FeC and FeP increased with time up to around 60 h and then remained essentially constant for the duration of the experiment (Fig. 2a).

At the end of the experiment, 80% of the particle-bound Fe was distributed in the particulate fraction and 20% in the colloidal fraction (initially 88% particulate, 12% colloidal).

Iron

The behaviour of the three forms of Fe with time is shown in Figs 1a and 2a. The initial FeT concentration was 2.21 mg L−1, with 51% in dissolved forms, 43% in particulate forms and only 6% in colloidal forms. The change in Fe speciation with time was quite clear. The FeD decreased to a negligible concentration after around 60 h, whereas the concentration of both FeC and FeP increased with time up to around 60 h and then remained essentially constant for the duration of the experiment (Fig. 2a).

At the end of the experiment, 80% of the particle-bound Fe was distributed in the particulate fraction and 20% in the colloidal fraction (initially 88% particulate, 12% colloidal).

Copper

The behaviour of the three forms of Cu is shown in Figs 1b and 2b. During the experiment, the CuD concentration was reduced from 15.8 mg L−1 to 12.5 mg L−1 (a reduction of 3.3 mg L−1, 18%), this being mostly (72%) distributed into the colloidal fraction. Initially, the Cu was distributed 85% CuD, 5% CuC and 10% CuP, whereas at the completion of the experiment, the distribution was 67% CuD, 18% CuC and 15% CuP. Dissolved Cu was continually lost from solution over the duration of the experiment, and not just during the first 60 h of the experiment when FeD was removed. The amount lost over the first 60 h (1.8 mg L−1) was approximately the same as that lost over the remaining 228 h (1.5 mg L−1). Most of the CuD removed from solution became associated with the colloidal fraction, with only a small amount associated with the particulate fraction (Fig. 2b).

It is possible that there was a short time lag before the CuD decrease commenced. However, if this did occur it was before the first sample was taken at 12 h. The CuC/CuFe molar ratio was very high (~6.0), suggesting that simple adsorption onto HIO surfaces was not the mechanism responsible for the removal of Cu from solution.

Zinc

The changes in the Zn speciation are shown in Figs 1c and 2c. The ZnD concentration was reduced from 21.3 mg L−1 to 18.4 mg L−1 (2.9 mg L−1, 14%) over the period of the experiment, and this was almost all transferred into the colloidal fraction. The major changes in the ZnD concentration occurred in the period up to 60–75 h, and after this the concentration changed little (Fig. 2c). Initially, the Zn was distributed 94% as ZnD, 5% as ZnC and 1% as ZnP, and at the completion of the experiment,
As noted above, the very low flow experienced in the Tambo River during the present study provided an opportunity to study the geochemistry of Fe, Cu and Zn added to the river by a natural metal-rich spring (Wilga Spring), the source of which is a small tributary of the Tambo River. The spring input does lower the pH and also results in elevated metal concentrations in the river downstream of the spring input. However, under low flow conditions, the river downstream of the spring input has elevated metal concentrations, and a near neutral pH (Hart et al. 1992). However, under low flow conditions, the spring input does lower the pH and also results in elevated metal concentrations in the river.

A large amount of research has been undertaken on both the oxidation of Fe(II) (Liang et al. 1993; King et al. 1995; Emmenegger et al. 1998; Rose and Waite 2002, 2003a, 2003b; Duesterberg and Waite 2006), and the metal adsorption properties of the HIO formed through these oxidation reactions (Farley et al. 1985; Dzombak and Morel 1990; Grossl et al. 1994; Emmenegger et al. 1997, 1999; Tonkin et al. 1999; Lee et al. 2002).

However, the work reported here differs in three significant ways from the bulk of these oxidation and adsorption studies. First, most Fe(II) oxidation experiments are conducted in solutions that contain a much greater concentration of Fe than Cu and Zn. However, in our experiment, the initial CuD and ZnD concentrations (18.5 mg L\(^{-1}\) and 22.6 mg L\(^{-1}\) respectively) were ~15 times higher than the FeD concentrations (1.13 mg L\(^{-1}\)). Second, and related, most adsorption experiments are run under conditions where the concentration of HIO is significantly greater than the concentrations of the adsorbing cations. Under these conditions, an excess of surface binding sites would exist, but this was not the case here. Third, the bulk of the adsorption experiments present already formed HIO to the aqueous solution, rather than simulating the situation here where Cu(II) and Zn(II) ions were present during the time Fe(II) was being oxidised. Some recent studies, however, have investigated the co-precipitation of Fe and heavy metals such as Cu, Zn, Cd, Ni and Pb (Karthikeyan et al. 1997; Webster et al. 1998; Ford et al. 1999; Lee et al. 2002).

**Iron**

The loss of FeD at pH 5.8 was complete in 60 h, with the FeD being distributed ~15% into the colloidal fraction and ~85% into the particulate fraction (Figs 1a and 2a). The final split between colloidal and particulate fractions was essentially the same as at the start of the experiment. The most obvious mechanism to explain the removal of Fe from this solution is oxidation of Fe(II) to Fe(III). The redox cycling of iron in natural waters has been extensively studied over several decades (Stumm and Morgan 1996). The mechanism proposed by King et al. (1995) (the Haber–Weiss mechanism) is now widely accepted (Emmenegger et al. 1998; Rose and Waite 2002, 2003a, 2003b). For many situations in freshwaters at least, the rate determining step for the oxidation of Fe(II) to Fe(III) is the reaction between colloidal and particulate fractions essentially the same as at the start of the experiment.

\[
\text{Fe}^{2+} + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2 + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{HO}_2^- \text{ (1)}
\]

where \(\text{O}_2\text{HO}^-\) is a hydroxylated oxygen molecule and 'OH\(^{-}\) a superoxide radical. This leads to the homogeneous first order rate equation for oxidation of Fe(II):

\[
\frac{d[\text{Fe(II)}]}{dt} = -k_1[\text{Fe(II)}] = -k[\text{O}_2\text{aq}]\text{[OH}^-\text{]}^2[\text{Fe(II)}] \text{ (2)}
\]

The presence of other inorganic and organic ions in the natural water can influence the overall rate of oxidation by reducing the amount of free iron Fe(II) and/or scavenging the superoxide and hydroxyl radicals produced (Liang et al. 1993; Rose and Waite 2002). In some situations (e.g. poorly oxygenated groundwaters), natural organic material can significantly increase the oxidation rate has been found to have little effect on the rate of oxidation at high oxygen concentrations (Liang et al. 1993).
Fig. 1. Variation in the concentrations of (a) Fe, (b) Cu and (c) Zn with time in Tambo River water (pH 5.8). Each diagram shows the total (■), dissolved (●), colloidal (○) and particulate (□) metal concentrations. Figure 1a inset shows the results of two simulations, one with the oxidation commencing at zero time ($t = 0$ h) and the other with oxidation commencing at 5.5 h ($t = 5.5$ h).

Additionally, it is well known that Fe(II) oxidation is autocatalytic, where the rate is enhanced by the formation of Fe(III) hydroxides (Stumm and Morgan 1996).

However, for the experiment reported here, we expect the simple rate equation (Eqn 2) to hold. Potentially interfering anions are not present in high enough concentrations, and the autocatalytic mechanism is unlikely to be relevant because the relatively large concentrations of Cu(II) and Zn(II) would out-compete Fe(II) ions for available HIO surfaces sites.

Assuming first order Fe(II) oxidation kinetics (rate constant $k = 1.2 \times 10^{17} \text{ M}^{-3} \text{ min}^{-1}$ (Morel 1983, p. 373),

Fig. 2. Variation in the normalised concentration of (a) Fe, (b) Cu and (c) Zn with time in Tambo River water (pH 5.8). Each diagram shows the dissolved (●), colloidal (○) and particulate (□) metal concentrations. Concentrations were normalised to better show the relative differences in each metal species with time.
Oxidation of Fe(II)-rich water in the Tambo River

(Marine and Freshwater Research 77)

\( \text{(O}_2 \text{)} = 8 \text{ mg L}^{-1}, \ (\text{OH}^-) = 10^{-8.2} \text{ M} \) and the commencement of oxidation at \( t = 0 \text{ h} \), we were able to simulate the experimental data reasonably well (Fig. 1a). The computer package STELLA was used for the simulations (Cronk et al. 1990). However, a more detailed analysis of the FeD data suggests that there may have been a slight delay of around 5–6 h before oxidation commenced (Fig. 1a inset). A second simulation, with a 5.5 h time lag produced a very good fit to the experimental data (Fig. 1a). Interestingly, there appears to be a delay of \( \sim 5-12 \text{ h} \) before the dissolved Cu and Zn concentrations started to reduce (Fig. 2b, c). For this simulation, the rate constant \( (k_1) = 0.07 \text{ h}^{-1} \) and the half time for reaction was around 9 h.

The apparent delay of 5–6 h before the oxidation of the Fe(II) commenced is difficult to explain. The most plausible explanation is that the aeration system was less effective during the initial stages of the experiment, which is certainly a possibility, given the rather primitive conditions that existed in the Macquarie Resources office at that time.

In most situations, the oxidation of Fe(II) to Fe(III) is followed by rapid hydrolysis to form insoluble HIOs (Stumm and Morgan 1996). In a study of 28 acid mine drainage sites, Bigham et al. (1996) found the dominant form of the HIO to depend on the pH at which the precipitate formed. Ferrihydrite (FeOOH) dominated in solutions of pH 6.5 and greater, schwertmannite (formula Fe\(_9\)O\(_8\)(OH)\(_6\)SO\(_4\)) dominated in solutions with pHs in the range 2.8–4.5, and mixtures of these two HIO forms were produced from solutions with intermediate pH. Hence, if HIO colloids did form in the Tambo River sample, it is likely they would be a mixture of ferrihydrite and schwertmannite.

Interestingly, most (85%) of the FeD was distributed into the particulate fraction and only 15% to the colloidal fraction. This was almost the reverse of the CuD and ZnD behave, as these were both largely distributed to the colloidal fraction.

The Fe rate data (Figs 1a and 2a) shows that the formation of FeC closely followed the first order loss of FeD. However, the rate of formation of FeC appeared to be linear and not first order. This suggests that the association of Fe with the particulate fraction was primarily dependent upon the oxidation of Fe(II), but just how this Fe(III) was associated with the particulate fraction is not known. The linear formation of FeC over the first 60 h \( (r^2 = 0.996) \) suggests the rate-determining step is slower than the production of Fe(III) and constant with time. The formation of CuC \( (r^2 = 0.991) \) and ZnC \( (r^2 = 0.950) \) was also linear, although more rapid than Fe (Fig. 2). This strongly suggests precipitation (or co-precipitation) is the rate-determining step in the formation of the colloidal fraction (Neilsen 1964; Stumm and Morgan 1996).

As discussed below, this Fe(II) oxidation had a major influence on the behaviour of the dissolved Cu and Zn. Briefly, two related mechanisms are proposed (Fig. 3), these being: (i) the formation of HIO colloids that then form nuclei for the adsorption and surface precipitation of Cu and Zn; and (ii) the co-precipitation of Fe(III), Cu and Zn could form colloidal particles of Fe(OH)\(_3\), Cu(OH)\(_2\) and Zn(OH)\(_2\).

Copper

Approximately 18% (3.3 mg L\(^{-1}\)) of the CuD was lost from solution during the oxidation experiment, with most (72%) partitioned into the colloidal fraction (Fig. 2b). Possible reasons for the speciation are discussed below. There is evidence that the loss of CuD from solution may have commenced after a short lag time; the evidence for ZnD is even stronger (Fig. 2c). A lag of 5–6 h was also apparent in the loss of FeD and was ascribed to a fault in the aeration system during the initial stages of the experiment.

The removal of CuD from solution appeared to occur in two steps, an initial rapid reduction of around 50% over the first 60 h, followed by a slower removal of the remaining 50% over a further 222 h (Figs 1b and 2b). A plot of CuC v. time over the first 60 h was first order \( (r^2 = 0.985, k = 0.015 \text{ h}^{-1}) \) and was very similar to that measured for the formation of FeC \( (0.017 \text{ h}^{-1}) \). The rate of formation of CuC over the period 72–288 h was also first order \( (r^2 = 0.931) \), but with a significantly lower rate constant \( (k = 0.0013 \text{ h}^{-1}) \).

The CuD removal mechanism at pH 5.8 appears to be linked with the formation of FeC. The initial rapid removal of both FeD and CuD suggests that the oxidation of Fe(II) to Fe(III) provide the trigger for the loss of CuD from solution. We propose a ‘co-precipitation-surface precipitation’ mechanism to explain this behaviour. (Fig. 3) (Dzombak and Morel 1990; Fendorf and Gronsky 1992; Zhu 2002). Initially, Cu(OH)\(_2\) co-precipitation with the Fe(OH)\(_3\) that would have formed by the hydrolysis of Fe(III). Following this, further Cu(OH)\(_2\) (s) precipitated on the surface of the new particles. The change in the rate of production of CuC after FeD oxidation had been completed (60 h) suggests that (surface) precipitation of Cu(II) ions after this time took place onto a somewhat different colloidal surface, perhaps a surface largely dominated by Cu(OH)\(_2\)(s) and Zn(OH)\(_2\)(s).

Further evidence for the co-precipitation-surface precipitation mechanism (Fig. 3) is provided by the observation that most of the Cu (and Zn) lost from the dissolved fraction became associated with the colloidal fraction. Several studies have shown that the addition of foreign ions can inhibit aggregate growth. For example, Karthikeyan et al. (1997) found that the addition of Cu ions to precipitating HIO hindered aggregate growth and
resulted in a greater proportion of smaller particles. Waychunas et al. (1993) also found that arsenate ions caused the same effect on HIO particles.

Homogeneous precipitation of Cu(OH)$_2$(s) is another mechanism that could explain the loss of CuD from this solution. However, calculations using the computer program CHEMQUEL (Muller 2000) showed that this solution was undersaturated with respect to Cu(OH)$_2$(s), and that precipitation would not have commenced until a pH in excess of \( \sim 6.4 \) was reached.

The other possible mechanism to explain the behaviour of CuD in these experiments is sorption of Cu(II) to HIO produced through the oxidation of Fe(II). Two lines of evidence make it unlikely that an adsorption mechanism is important. First, very high Cu/Fe molar ratios were measured in both the colloidal (ratio 4.5–8.1) and particulate (ratio 1.1–1.6) fractions. When high Cu/Fe molar ratios were measured in both the colloidal and particulate fractions. Second, CuD was still being removed from solution after all the FeD had been lost from solution, an unlikely situation if sorption to HIO surfaces was controlling the behaviour of CuD.

Karthikeyan et al. (1997) found that co-precipitation with HIO was more effective in removing Cu from solution than adsorption. In experiments with an initial Cu and Fe concentration of \( 7 \times 10^{-5} \) M and \( 3.7 \times 10^{-4} \) M, respectively (Cu:Fe = 0.2 cf. Tambo River sample Cu:Fe = 12.5), they found co-precipitation removed around 50% of the Cu at pH 6 (~30% removal by adsorption) and this increased to 97% removal at pH 7 (~80% for adsorption).

Zinc

Considerable ZnD (14% or 2.9 mg L$^{-1}$) was also removed from solution during the oxidation, with almost all of this appearing in the colloidal fraction (Fig. 2c). The formation of ZnC appeared to be linearly related to the FeC (colloidal HIO) production (\( r^2 = 0.91 \)). The behaviour of ZnD appeared to differ from CuD in three ways. First, there was a more noticeable time lag (\( \sim 12 \) h) before the reduction of ZnD commenced (Fig. 2c). Second, after the lag period, the ZnD became associated with the colloidal fraction at a considerably faster rate than did the CuD (Fig. 2c); for example, in the initial period, ZnC was formed around 2.5 times faster than CuC (first order rate constants: 0.04 h$^{-1}$ compared with 0.015 h$^{-1}$). And third, little additional Zn became associated with the colloidal phase after around 60 h when all of the Fe had been oxidised.

The time lag before the start of the ZnD removal, and the relatively small amount of ZnD associated with the colloidal phase after 60–75 h (~20%; Fig. 1c), suggests that like CuD, the loss of ZnD was linked to the oxidation of FeD and formation of colloidal HIO particles.

We postulate that the same ‘co-precipitation-surface precipitation’ mechanism used to explain the behaviour of Cu, also holds for Zn (Fig. 3) but with one major difference to explain why little ZnD was lost after FeD oxidation was completed. Initially, Zn(II) and Fe(III) would co-precipitate to form a solid solution of Fe(OH)$_2$-Zn(OH)$_2$. The high Zn:Fe molar ratio of these colloidal particles (~5.7–12) suggest that further Zn(OH)$_2$(s) precipitated on the surface of the new particles, at least until all of the FeD had been oxidised. Harvey and Linton (1984) found that surface precipitation of Zn(OH)$_2$(s) occurred on HIO when sorption densities were high and pHs were in excess of 6.5.

However, it is clear that the (surface) precipitation of Zn(OH)$_2$(s) did not continue after FeD oxidation ceased. Perhaps at this point the solution became under-saturated with respect to surface precipitation of Zn(OH)$_2$(s). Certainly homogeneous precipitation of Zn(OH)$_2$(s) would not have occurred. Calculations using CHEMQUEL (Muller 2000) show that the initial solution was well under-saturated with respect to Zn(OH)$_2$(s). This may also have been the situation after 60 h. At this point, the CuD and ZnD concentrations were almost the same (14 mg L$^{-1}$ (or 2.2 \( \times 10^{-4} \) M) cf. 19 mg L$^{-1}$ (or 2.9 \( \times 10^{-4} \) M)) whereas the surface precipitation constants for Zn onto HIO (\( \log K_s \approx -10.2 \)) are almost two orders less that Cu (\( \log K_s \approx -8.3 \) (Zhu 2002)).
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